

1 Mayer Brown LLP
2 LEE H. RUBIN (SBN 141331)
3 lrubin@mayerbrown.com
4 EDWARD D. JOHNSON (SBN 189475)
5 wjohnson@mayerbrown.com
6 DONALD M. FALK (SBN 150256)
7 dfalk@mayerbrown.com
8 ERIC B. EVANS (SBN 232476)
9 eevans@mayerbrown.com
10 ANNE M. SELIN (SBN 270634)
11 aselin@mayerbrown.com
12 Two Palo Alto Square, Suite 300
13 3000 El Camino Real
14 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112
15 Telephone: (650) 331-2000
16 Facsimile: (650) 331-2061

*Attorneys for Defendant
Google Inc.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

17 IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE
18 ANTITRUST LITIGATION

19 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:
20 ALL ACTIONS
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Master Docket No. 11-CV-2509-LHK

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANT GOOGLE INC.'S
RENEWED ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL
PURSUANT TO FEBRUARY 28, 2013
SEALING ORDER**

On February 28, 2013, the Court issued its Order Granting-in-Part Motions to Seal (Dkt. 333) related to Plaintiffs' and Defendant Google Inc.'s ("Google") various papers regarding Plaintiffs' motion to compel production of documents from Google. In its Order, the Court granted certain of Google's requests to seal and also held that Google could renew its motion to seal with narrowly tailored redactions of certain information related to Bill Campbell. On March 12, 2013, Google filed its Renewed Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Pursuant to the February 28, 2013, Sealing Order ("Renewed Motion to Seal"). In support of Google's renewed administrative motion to seal, Google filed the Declaration of Anne M. Selin.

GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that the following be placed under seal (and as reflected in the proposed redactions accompanying Google's Renewed Motion to Seal):

(1) The following portions of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel: Pages 2:17-20, 5:15-25, 5:28, and 6:1-5;

(2) Information related to compensation, as well as personal contact and social security information, that is contained in the Declaration of William Campbell in Support of Google's Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel;

(3) The following portions of Exhibit 1 to the Supplemental Declaration of Dean M. Harvey in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel: Pages 175:20-21, 175:24-25, 176:3-7, 176:10-12, 176:14-15, and 177:19-25; and

(4) Page 1, lines 8-11, of Google's Supplement Regarding Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Production of Documents.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: _____

HONORABLE PAUL S. GREWAL
United States Magistrate Judge